

Present and Future of Food Safety Risk Assessment: The Japanese Perspectives

Dr Yasushi Yamazoe
Food Safety Commission of Japan (FSCJ)



Outline

- FSCJ who we are, how we work
- Our Principles Present, Challenges
 and Future
- International Cooperation
- Conclusion



FSCJ – who we are

• Set up in 2003 as an impartial body of scientific risk assessment and risk communication

• Following the establishment of Food Safety Basic Act and re-organization of food safety system

 Commissioners, Scientific Panels and Working Groups (approx. 15 panel groups over 200 experts), Secretariats



How we work – Risk assessment procedures

Path 1:

In response to requests from risk managers (ministries)

Global and regional commonality
 (e.g. Fish (Tetrodotoxin), Ethoxyquin)

Path 2:

Self-Tasking: FSCJ's own initiative

- Emerging hazards, increasing societal needs
 - -Radioactive nuclides in foods (associated with the accident at Fukushima Nuclear Power Station)
 - -Food allergy



Our Fundamental Principles in FSCJ

 Openness and Transparency Meeting and Results

Scientific Validity
 Updated and Reliable Sources



Openness and Transparency 1

Present

Openness

- Panel meetings are open to public audiences
- Meeting records, risk assessment reports are available online
- Summary of risk assessment report is available in English

Transparency

- Risk assessment reports articulate scientific rationales behind (e.g. choice of evidence, expert's judgment)
- Guidance (e.g. flavorings, food additives as nutrients etc.)



Openness and Transparency 2

Challenges

Offering more English information for international audiences

Future possibilities

Improved accessibility to FSCJ's available information in English

Website, Notice of new FSCJ risk assessment reports Risk assessment reports archives Further development of Journal "Food Safety*"

* a peer-reviewed journal established at the 10th anniversary of FSCJ 7



1. Data Collection

Present

- Mining existing data worldwide
 (e.g. literatures, risk assessment reports)
- ●No laboratory function no own-research data
- Additional data are collected from academic/research
- institutions (FSCJ research funds)
- Acrylamide--Determined acrylamide yielded during conditionning stir-cook processes with defined recipes
- Arsenic--Determined daily arsenic intake using samples from a study in duplicated diet methods



1. Data Collection (cont'd)

Challenges

- Data collection without data submitted by sponsors (e.g. contaminants, mycotoxins)
- No experimental data due to scarce test compound flavouring, metabolites, impurities
- Epidemiology

Future possibilities

- Access to available information by international partners (e.g. Open Food Tox, FSCJ risk assessment report archives)
- *In-silico* methods



2. Reliable judgement

Present

- Panels/WGs with over 200 scientists
- Commissioners participate in panels/WGs to provide horizontal perspectives across different panels

Challenges

- Capacity building of panel experts in next generation
- Invariable judgement across different panels

Future possibilities

- Training for young professionals
- Mutual participation between different panels



3. Risk assessment methodologies

Present

Mainly based experimental on animal data

Challenges

- Needs for prioritization according to toxicological impacts
- Gap filling for insufficient experiment data
- Extrapolation of animal experimental data to human

Future possibilities

• Introduce *in-silico* methods as additional supports



in-silico approach 1

Present

- Testing the reliability of new methodology
- Starting database development
 - FSCJ assessment report archives database
 - Repeated-dose Toxicity study data compatible data format with OECD toolbox

Challenges

- Risk assessment framework integrating in-silico methods
- Database development to retrieve quickly

Future possibilities

- Introduce in-silico approach as a supporting tool
- Data sharing with partner institutions/databases



in-silico approach 2

 To prevent "overlookings" and "possible misjudgment"

Verify the human relevance
 To overcome species differences in
 Metabolism & Disposition and also in
 Patho/physiology



International cooperation 1

Present

- Expanding bilateral cooperation with global partners
 - -MoCs
 - -Bilateral Meetings and working level meetings
- Engagement in multilateral frameworks
 - Support FSCJ Panel Experts to participate JECFA, JMPR meetings
 - Respond to Call for Data (e.g. Ethoxyquin)
- Discussion with international partners on new risk assessment methodology (e.g. harmonized format)



International cooperation 2

Challenges

- Develop technical expertise and contribute to global efforts for reliable assessment
 - -Expand FSCJ experts' contribution to international experts group
 - Learning opportunities for young experts and FSCJ secretariat
 - Develop new risk assessment methodologies in harmonized manner

Future possibilities

• Strengthen our capacity and actively engage in discussion towards harmonized development of new assessment methodologies and database



International cooperation 3

Oriental lifestyles and food intakes, which are common in ASEAN and also becoming available in European countries,

Share available knowledge

- fish and sea products (marine bio-toxin, arsenic in seaweed,
- methyl mercury in fish)
- rice (arsenic)

Explore a collaborative program (seminar, survey)





Conclusion

Values: Openness, Transparency and Scientific Validity

Challenges:

- Insufficient accessibility and availability of data
- New risk assessment methodologies for enhanced capacity & scientific validity
- Capacity building of experts for reliable assessment

Future Possibilities:

- Improved accessibility to available data (assessment report archives, database)
- Active participation in international discussion on new risk assessment methodologies
- Network for enhanced communication (Forum meeting? contact points?)



Thank you for your kind attention